



SOx Emissions Innovation Challenge

Three elements for an even playingfield



- Hannelore, Jens, Lina & Rasmus

Agenda

- Overview
- The Three Elements
 - 1. Measuring SOx
 - 2. Data Analysis
 - 3. Soot Sample
- Next Steps





Who we are



Jens Moll

Mathematical Modelling

DTU Compute



Hannelore Peeters

Environmental Technology

KULeuven Bioscience engineering



Lina Christensen

Naval Architecture

DTU Mechanical Engineering



Rasmus Korslund

Engineering Design and Applied Mechanics

DTU Mechanical Engineering



Bunker fuel

- Lubrication and bunker fuel tells about sulphur content
- Requires cooperation and monitoring in all ports

Storage and combustion on-board

- Tamperproof solution
- Hard to do spot check at high seas
- Traces left in the engine and stack



Emission in high seas

- Monitor directly in high seas
- International waters.
- Regulation concerned with emission not fuel type.





Combine elements enough to get a functional solution



Make solution tamper proof OR remove incentive to tamper with it



Solution should be applicable world wide and work in high seas



Limiting cost









Sensor measurement and GPS data is sent to a land based server

The sensor gets a signal to turn and measure on a nearby ship

> The sensor measures SOx in exhaust from passing ship.



Key points

- Solution is a combination of known technologies
- Designed to gradually narrow down the pool of vessels that should be investigated for non compliance
- Little incentive to tamper with the solution
 - Counter productive
 - Difficult due to multiple measurements



Measuring SOx



Measuring SOx



Current optical systems

DOAS

- Spectrometer either mounted on ship or helicopter
- When based on ship it looks "up" at the plume
- LIDAR
 - Two laser pulses with different wavelength.
 - One is absorbed by the plume
- UV-CAM
 - Photosensors, with a focus on UV (280-320 nm) can estimate SOx



Current projects

• Great Belt Bridge





Current projects

• Great Belt Bridge

Port of Rotterdam



Current projects

- Great Belt Bridge
- Port of Rotterdam
- Göteborg





Challenges

- Range
- Accuracy (Optical systems = Absolute SOx, not relative to air)
- Stabilization



Data Analysis



Data Analysis

- Measuring SOx
 - Accuracy not essential, but of course beneficial
- Identify non-compliant vessels





Laser Measurements

Pros

Cons

Speed

Only measures SOx

Range





Which Vessels to Target

- 1. High SOx Emissions
- 2. Undetected Vessels
- 3. Detection Avoidance





Targeted Port Control



Targeted Port Control

- Specific: non-compliant and suspicious ships
- Extention of port control:
 - Soot sample from stack
 - Heat resistant sampler
 - Chemical analysis







Soot

- SOx in exhaust gas deposits on PM
- PM deposits in stack

Relationship SO₂ concentration/adsorption: OK
Relationship soot deposition: ?
Back calculation: ?









Sampling

- Heat resistant sampler
 - ~ Vulcano sampler
- \longrightarrow No need to stop engine
 - + : Time and money saving





Analysing

- Standard oil/fuel analyser ?
 - e.g. SpectrOil®, MiniLab® (Spectro Scientific)
 - + : portable, in situ analysis
 - fast
 - : solid (soot) vs fluid (oil)
- Lab analysis spectroscopy
 - e.g.: ICP-MS, IR,
 - + : established methods
 - : slower



Portable oil analysis kit (Spectro Scientific)



ICP-MS (Analytical West, Inc.)



Conclusions & Prospect



Cost Guestimate

One-time costs

- Laser: 50,000 DKK
- Stabilisation: 50,000 DKK
- Installation: 50,000 DKK
- Port receiver: 20,000 DKK

Total cost of equipping entire Maersk Line fleet of 605 vessels and 343 ports:

Annual cost

- Maintenance: ?
- Data center: ?





What is next?

- Assess viability
 - Passing distance and frequency
 - Estimate SOx contents without CO₂ measurements
- Develop soot test
- Partner up!
 - Ports
 - Insurance
 - Tech



Thank you, questions?

